PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220				PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2005/000427		International filing date (07.01.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.01.2004	
_	national Patent Classif	fication (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC	
	icant PPON STEEL COP	RPORATION			
1. 2.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VI	Basis of the operiority Non-establishmack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cite applicability; cite application defects application defec	nent of opinion with regar invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> ations and explanations	ard to novelty, inve and to novelty, inve and an invention supporting such solication	ntive step and industrial applicability I to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be conswritten opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this do the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA had International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the application submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the emonths from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months frow whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.). However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority ne IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three
	For further options,	see Form PC	T/ISA/220.		•

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Forciniti, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7903



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

10/585472

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/000427

JAP20 Rec'd PGT/PTO 06 JUL ZUUN Box No. I Basis of the opinion 1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). 2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: a. type of material: a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing b. format of material: in written format in computer readable form c. time of filing/furnishing: contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. 4. Additional comments: Box No. II Priority The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date. 2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. 3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2,3,6,8-9,12

Claims No:

1,4-5,7,10-11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-12

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

10/585472

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/000427

Re Item V

IAPZORec'd PCT/PTO 06 JUL 2006

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- V.1 Reference is made to the following document/s/:
 - **D1:** PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 008, no. 118 (C-226), 31 May 1984 (1984-05-31) & JP 59 031824 A (NIPPON KOKAN KK), 21 February 1984 (1984-02-21)
 - D2: JP 60 251221 A (CENTRE DE RECHERCHES METALLURGIQUES; METARIYURUJIKU E MINIEERU DO RODA) 11 December 1985 (1985-12-11)
 - **D3:** PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 004, no. 026 (C-001), 6 March 1980 (1980-03-06) & JP 55 002768 A (NIPPON KOKAN KK <NKK>), 10 January 1980 (1980-01-10)

V.2 **Claim 1**:

V.2.1 Document **D1** discloses:

A rail manufacturing method, comprising:

- a) hot-rolling a billet into a form of a rail having a high temperature;
- b) after step (a), cooling the high-temperature is cooled to ambient temperature, wherein the rail is maintained in an upright position until a temperature of a surface of a foot of a rail reaches a temperature range of substantially 400 $^{\circ}$ C to 250 $^{\circ}$ C, and where the rail is cooled naturally <u>without a use of an accelerated cooling</u> <u>procedure</u>.

V.2.2 Document **D2** discloses:

A rail manufacturing method, comprising:

- a) hot-rolling a billet into a form of a rail having a high temperature;
- b) after step (a), cooling the high-temperature is cooled to ambient temperature, wherein the rail is maintained in an upright position until a temperature of a surface

of a foot of a rail reaches a temperature range of substantially 400 $^{\circ}$ C to 250 $^{\circ}$ C, and where the rail is cooled naturally <u>without a use of an insulation</u>.

V.2.3 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

V.3 **Claim 7**:

Document **D2** discloses:

A rail manufacturing method, comprising:

- a) hot-rolling a billet into a form of a rail having a high temperature;
- b) after step (a), cooling the high-temperature is cooled to ambient temperature, wherein the rail is maintained in an upright position (figure 4) until a temperature of a surface of a foot of a rail reaches a temperature range of substantially 800 $^{\circ}$ C to 400 $^{\circ}$ C, and while the foot of the rail is mechanically restrained (figure 4).

The subject-matter of claim 7 is therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

V.4 Dependent claims 2 to 6 and 8 to 12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

V.4.1 Claim 2,8:

D3 (abstract)) discloses cooling of a rail to a temperature in the

range of 450 ℃ to 600°C with a cooling speed of 3 to 30℃ per

second.

V.4.2 Claim 3,9:

D3 (abstract) discloses cooling of a rail which is in the austenitic

state.

V.4.3 Claim 4,10:

D1 (abstract) and D2 (abstract) disclose that the rail is maintained

in the upright position over the whole cooling process.

- V.4.4 Claim 5,6,11,12: The additional features of these claims do not provide any further particular or unforeseeable technical effect.
- V.5 The subject- matter of **claims 1 to 12** is, without any doubts, industrially applicable (Art. 33(4) PCT).